Visit us : www.researchjournal.co.in

RESEARCH PAPER

■ e ISSN-0976-5670

Effects of planting geometry and fertilizer levels on growth and yield of hybrid brinjal

D. L. SOLLAPUR AND S. M. HIREMATH*

Department of Horticulture, University of Agricultural Sciences, DHARWAD (KARNATAKA) INDIA (Email: hiremathsm10677@uasd.in)

Abstract : An experiment was conducted during *Kharif* season of 2013-14 to know the effect of spacing and fertilizer levels on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and available soil nutrients in hybrid brinjal. The plants grown with wider spacing (90 cm x 90 cm) (S_5) recorded maximum number of branches per plant, number of leaves per plant, canopy spread, total dry matter production, yield and nutrient uptake. While, maximum plant height and available soil nutrient status of crop were recorded in closer spacing (75 cm x 60 cm) (S_1). Application of higher fertilizer levels (F_3) improved the vegetative characters, yield and nutrient uptake significantly. Among interaction effects of spacing and higher fertilizer levels S_5F_3 (90 cm x 90 cm + 187.5:150:75 kg N, P_2O_5 and K_2O / ha) recorded significantly maximum plant height, number of branches per plant, number of leaves per plant, canopy spread, total dry matter production, yield and nutrient uptake.

Key Words: Brinjal hybrid, Spacing, Fertilizers, Nutrient uptake

View Point Article: Sollapur, D.L. and Hiremath, S.M. (2017). Effects of planting geometry and fertilizer levels on growth and yield of hybrid brinjal. *Internat. J. agric. Sci.*, **13** (1): 97-100, **DOI:10.15740/HAS/IJAS/13.1/97-100.**

Article History: Received: 08.10.2016; Revised: 21.11.2016; Accepted: 18.12.2016

Introduction

Eggplant or brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) belongs to the family Solanaceae and is the most important widely consumed vegetable in India. It is grown in 601,000 hectares with production of eight to nine million tonnes (equivalent to one quarter of global production), which makes India the second largest producer of eggplant in the world. The increased yields in brinjal can be achieved by use of hybrids.

As hybrids are vigorous, spiny in nature and they respond very well to management practices. Alternation

in spacing and nutrient management greatly influence brinjal yield. Common practice followed by the most of the farmers in hybrid brinjal production is adoption of wider spacing and application of higher fertilizer than the recommendation of university or research institute (Anonymous, 2014). Adequate information on optimum spacing and fertilizer requirement for hybrid brinjal under tropical condition is lacking.

In view of this and to revalidate the spacing and fertilizer requirement for hybrid brinjal a study was undertaken.

f I f

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out in factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications. The experiment was conducted during Kharif season of 2013-14 at Saidapur Farm, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Fifteen treatment combinations comprising five spacings (S_1 -75 cm x 60 cm, S_2 -75 cm $x 75 \text{ cm}, S_3-90 \text{ cm} x 60 \text{ cm}, S_4-90 \text{ cm} x 75 \text{ cm} \text{ and } S_5-90 \text{ cm}$ cm x 90 cm) and three fertilizer levels (F_1 -125:100:50 kg N, P_2O_5 and K_2O/ha , $F_2-156.25:125:62.5$ kg N, P_2O_5 and K_2O/ha and F_3 - 187.5:150:75 kg N, P_2O_5 and K_2O/ha ha) were analyzed for growth and yield parameters of brinial. Popular private brinial hybrid Mahyco-10 was used for the study. As per the spacing treatments seedlings were transplanted and respective fertilizers were imposed. Full dose of phosphorus and potassium and half dose of nitrogen were applied at the time of transplanting and remaining half dose of nitrogen was applied in two split doses at 6th and 10th weeks after transplanting. The crop was raised successfully by adopting proper cultural practices and plant protection measures (Anonymous, 2014). Five plants in each plot were randomly selected for taking observations. The brinjal fruits were harvested at weekly interval as and when they mature and data on yield was computed. Fisher's method of analysis of variance was applied for analysis of variance and interpretation of data. Level of significance of 'F' test used was p=5 per cent (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Significant variation in plant height, number of branches per plant, number of leaves per plant, canopy spread, marketable yield, nutrient uptake was observed in different levels of spacing and fertilizers levels (Table 1 and 2). Highest fruit yield (78.73 t/ha) was obtained in wider spacing of 90 cm x 90 cm (S_{ϵ}) compared of 90 cm $x 75 \text{ cm}(S_4)$, 90 cm x 60 cm(S₂), 75 cm x 75 cm (S₂) and 75 cm x 60 cm(S₁) accountings to an increase of 9, 5, 27.55 and 27.29 per cent, respectively. Significant per cent increase was also observed for growth parameters like number of branches per plant (25.90), number of leaves per plant (122.29), canopy spread (75.47 cm), dry matter production (304.3 g/plant) in wider spacing. Similarly higher yield with wider spacing was observed by Ndereyimana et al. (2014); Baloch et al. (2012); Alfred (2009) and Drsekender (2006) in brinjal. Further

Spacing		Р	ant hei	Plant height (cm	-			No	No. of branches /plant	ches /p	lant			2	of leav	No. of leaves per plant	lant			Ü	Canopy spread (cm)	pread	(m)	
Fertilizer	S	S	Š	Š	š	Mean	Š	S	S	S ₂	Š	Меал	Š	S ₂	Š	S	Š	Mean	Š	S	Š	\sqrt{3}	Š	Mean
levels			,	.	,			,		.			,				,					.	,	
Ľ	08 37	.96	91.	88.	85.	92.	20.	22.	23.	24.	25.	23.	104	108.	113.	116.	119.	112.	.99	.89	70.	73.	74.	70.
-	10.07	40	06	26	99	07	43	33	27	20	53	15	07	06	40	06	63	85	10	57	67	07	80	49
-	100	97.	94	89.	86.	93.	21.	22.	23.	24.	25.	23.	106.	110.	14.	118.	122.	114	67.	.89	71.	73.	75.	71.
F2	23	50	43	23	50	58	07	63	70	53	80	55	43	73	73	72	43	64	30	26	63	47	57	39
	102.	.86	95.	6	87.	95.	21.	22.	23.	25.	26.	23.	109.	113.	.116.	120.	124.	116.	.19	.69	72.	74.	76.	72.
Г3	80	07	73	47	32	60	37	93	76	13	37	95	46	47	47	29	80	81	26	87	47	83	03	17
Mean	100	97.	94.	.68	07.70		20.	22.	23.	24.	25.		106.	110.	114.	118.	122.		67.	.69	71.	73.	75.	
Medii	47	32	02	92	00.49		96	63	49	62	06		49	96	87	78	56		12	13	59	69	47	
For comparison of		S.E.		C.I	C.D. (P=0.05)	05)		S.E.±		CI	C.D. (P=0.05)	(20)		S.E.±		์ วิ	C.D. (P=0.05)	05)		S.E.±		Ö	C.D. (P=0.05)	0.05)
Spacing (S)		0.35			1.03			0.10			0.30			0.57			1.66			0.20	0		0.58	
Fertilizer level (F)		0.27			0.79			0.08			0.23			0.44			1.28			0.15			0.45	
Interaction (S x F)		19.0			SN			0.18			SS			0.99			SN			0.34	_		SS	

Spacing Total dry matter (g/plant) Nitroger (kg/plant) Nitroger (kg/plant) Nitroger (kg/plant) Phosphorus	Table 2: Growth and nutrient uptake (kg/plant) of hybrid brinjal as influenced by spacing, fertilizer levels and their interaction	owth a	nd nutri	ent upta	ke (kg/1	olant) o	f hybrid	brinjal	as influ	enced by	v spacin	g, fertil	izer leve	ls and	heir in	teractio	=								
Signature Sign	Spacing		Tota	ul dry ma	tter (g/p	lant)			Z	itroger (kg/plant	0			Phos	sporus (kg/plan	£			Potas	sium (I	kg/plan	t	
181 210 245 271 293 240 114 115 119 125 128 120 21 31 39 44 52 37 47 48 50 55 53 53 53 54 54 54 54	Fertilizek levels	Š.	\mathcal{S}_{2}	S_3	\mathbf{S}_{4}	Š	Mean	Š	\mathcal{S}_2	S,	v.	Š	Mean	S_1	S_2	S	S.		Mea n	S.	Æ	Š	Š	Ss	Mea n
57 30 47 33 40 41 70 57 37 77 10 83 00 17 40 70 63 77 30 33 83 83 55 59 40 48 50 53 55 40 48 50 53 55 50 53 53 40 40 60 13 33 14 13 14 13 63 14 40 16 18 63 60 17 40 16 18 63 60 17 40 16 13 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 14 14 40 16 16 16 18 36 42 40 66 33 40 46 46 46 48 50 51 51 43 43 43 48 50 52 53 53 53<		181	210.	245.	271.	293.	240.	114.	115.	119.	125.	128.	120.	21.	31.	39.	(0)	52.	37.	47.	48.	50.	52.	53.	50
192 225 255 281 306 252 282 308 308 14 53 57 43 47 13 63 63 64 42 56 33 23 40 23 40 54 54 58 58 58 58 58 58	F1	57	30	47	33	40	4	70	57	33	20	57	77	10	83	00		40	20	63	77	30	33	83	57
47 73 13 33 03 14 53 57 43 47 13 63 00 43 17 40 10 62 33 23 40 23 13 13 13 13 14 53 57 43 47 13 69 159. 28. 36 42. 99 56. 42. 30. 32. 40. 57. 62. 30 35 35 34 35 34 35 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34		192	225	255	281	905	252	120	127	132	137	140	131	36	33				40.	48	50	53.	55	50	53
200 232. 261. 286. 313. 258. 150. 154. 159. 169. 159. 28. 36. 42. 49. 56. 42. 49. 56. 42. 50. 55. 57. 67. 69. 69. 73. 13 13 13 13 13 13 145. 25. 33 40. 46. 54. 48. 50. 55. 58. 58. 58. 59. 66. 73 13 73 93 93 93 67. 90. 38. 81. 73 88. 89. 58. 48. 86. 66. 73 48. 58. 58. 88. 89. 73 48. 89. 73 88. 81. 77 33 33 81. 77 78. 78. 78. 78. 78. 78. 78. 78. 78. 78. 78. 78. 78. 78. 78. 78.	F2	47	73	13	33	03	14	53	27	43	47	13	63	00	43	17			62	33	23	40	23	13	27
an 36 55 55 17 17 73 17 00 13 33 13 15 63 17 77 23 50 66 73 13 73 93 03 and 191 222. 254. 279. 304. 128, 132, 136, 142, 145, 25, 33, 40, 46, 54, 48, 50, 52, 55, 58. and 191 222. 254, 279, 304, 128, 138, 132, 136, 142, 145, 25, 33, 40, 46, 54, 48, 50, 52, 55, 58. and 191 222. 254, 279, 304, 128, 136, 147, 145, 156, 25, 33, 40, 46, 54, 48, 50, 52, 55, 58. and 191 222. 254, 279, 304, 128, 131, 128, 131, 131, 131, 131, 131, 131, 131, 13	ū	200	232.	261.	286.	313.	258.	150.	154.	159.	163.	169.	159.	58	36.				45.	50.	52.	54.	57.	62.	55.
an 36 86 02 61 30 47 38 97 17 94 24 81 98 93 67 90 38 81 1 1 nparisor S.E.A. C.D. (P-0.05)	F.3	03	55	55	17	17	73	17	00	13	33	13	15	9	17	77			99	73	13	73	93	03	51
attention (S) 36 86 02 61 30 47 38 97 17 94 24 81 98 93 67 90 38 81 1 neparisor S.E.A. C.D. (P-0.05) S.E.A. C.D. (P-0.05) S.E.A. C.D. (P-0.05) S.E.A. S.E.A. <td>Monn</td> <td>161</td> <td>222</td> <td>254</td> <td>279</td> <td>304</td> <td></td> <td>128</td> <td>132</td> <td>136</td> <td>142</td> <td>145</td> <td></td> <td>25</td> <td>33.</td> <td>40</td> <td></td> <td>54.</td> <td></td> <td>48.</td> <td>50.</td> <td>52.</td> <td>55.</td> <td>58.</td> <td></td>	Monn	161	222	254	279	304		128	132	136	142	145		25	33.	40		54.		48.	50.	52.	55.	58.	
cing (S) 0.76 2.19 C.3 C.D. (P-0.05) S.E.⊥ C.D. (P-0.05) S.E.⊥ C.D. (P-0.05) S.E.⊥ cing (S) 0.76 2.19 0.38 1.11 0.24 0.70 0.22 cilitzer 0.59 1.70 0.30 0.86 0.19 0.55 0.17 el (F) razction (S) 1.31 NS 0.66 1.92 0.43 1.22 0.37	INICALI	36	98	05	19	30		47	38	76	17	4		74	81	86		29		06	38	81	17	33	
nparison S.E.1 C.D. (P=0.05) S.E.1 C.D. (P=0.05) S.E.1 C.D. (P=0.05) S.E.1 tcing (S) 0.76 2.19 0.38 1.11 0.24 0.70 0.22 tilizar 0.59 1.70 0.30 0.86 0.19 0.55 0.17 rraction (S) 1.31 NS 0.66 1.92 0.43 1.22 0.37	For																								
ing (S) 0.76 2.19 0.38 1.11 0.24 0.70 0.22 Hizer 0.59 1.70 0.30 0.86 0.19 0.55 0.17 action (S 1.31 NS 0.66 1.92 0.43 1.22 0.37	comparisor of		S.E.1		CI	O. (P-6.)	05)		S.E.1		C	O. (P-0.	05)		5.Ел		C.D.	(P-0.02	<u> </u>	co:	J.E. 1		C.D.	(F-0.5	(2)
Hizer 0.59 1.70 6.30 0.86 0.19 0.55 0.17 action(S 1.31 NS 6.66 1.92 0.43 1.22 0.37	Spacing (S)		92.0			2.19			0.38			=======================================			0.24		0	0.70			0.22			0.62	
action(S 1.31 NS 6.66 1.92 0.43 1.22 0.37	Fertilizer level (F)		0.59			1.70			030			98.0			0.19		0	.55			0.17			0.48	
	Interaction (S x F)		1.31			NS			99")			1.92			0.43			.22			0.37			1.08	

NS = Non- significant S.: 75 cm x 75 cm, S.: 90 cm x 60 cm, S.: 90 cm x 75 cm and S.: 90 cm x 90 cm S.: 75 cm x 60 cm, S.: 75 cm x 75 cm Note:

Table 3: Marketable yield (t/ha), available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (kg/ ha) status of experimental soil after harvest of brinjal as influenced by spacing, fertilizer levels and their interactions

Spacing	levels S ₁ S ₂	E 58. 57.	F1 66 81		r ₂ 66 36	Б 65. 64.		Man 61. 61.	Medii 85 22	For comparison S.E.	Spacing (S) 0.3	Fertilizer level 0.2 (F)	Interaction 0.53	(S x F)
Marketable yield (t/ha)	S	72.	03	75.	16	76.	36	74.	52	+į.	-	4	3	
yield (1	S_4	65.	05	.02	85	.62	10	71.	29	C.D				
/ha)	S ₅	72.	86	.62	19	83.	09	78.	73	C.D. (P=0.05)	68.0	69.0	1.54	
	Mean	.59	31	.69	73	73.	9/			(2)				
	S_1	126.	57	143.	43	147.	00	139.	90					
Z	S_2	123.	87	140.	53	145.	06	136.	77	S.E.±	4.08	3.10	6.94	
trogen	S3	122.	13	137.	63	141	13	133.	63					
Nitrogen (kg/plant)	S_4	116.	40	134.	53	136.	16	129.	30	C.D				
nt)	Ss	147.	93	131.	80	132.	93	137.	26	C.D. (P=0.05)	11.61	8.10	NS	
	Mean	127.	38	137.	59	140.	79			05)				
	\mathbf{S}_1	48.	70	51.	33	52.	63	50.	68					
Phos	S_2	46.	47	49.	37	51.	07	48.	4	S.E.±	0.31	0.24	0.53	
phorus	S ²	43.	63	46.	30	48.	30	46.	80					
Phosphorus (kg/plant)	S_4	42.	30	4	50	46.	93	4	58	C.D.				
Ð	S	40.	20	43.	4	46.	23	43.	57). (P=0.05)	68.0	69'0	SN	
	Me	44	32	47.	60	49.	03			5)				
		145.								5.5				
Pot	S ₂	143.	29	147.	80	149.	87	147.	Ξ	S.E.±	0.15	0.12	0.26	
Potassium (kg/plant)		140.												
kg/plar	S_4	135.	70	137.	53	138.	37	137.	20	 				
IT)	S ₄ S ₅ Me	132.	33	134.	27	135.	93	134.	18). (P=0	0.44	0.34	0.75	
	Mean	139.	53	142.	61	144	52			05)				

Note:

NS – Non significant
S₁: 75 cm x 60 cm, S₂: 75 cm x 75 cm, S₃: 90 cm x 60 cm, S₄: 90 cm x 75 cm and S₅: 90 cm x 90 cm
F₁: 125:100:50 kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O/ha, F₂: 156.25:125:62.5 kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O/ha and F₃: 187.5:150:75 kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O/ha

wider spacing (90 cm x 90 cm) recorded the highest nutrient uptake of N, P and K (145.94, 54.67 and 58.33 kg/plant, respectively) attributing to higher fruit yield. These results agree with finding of Ndereyimana *et al.* (2014) and Ibeawuchi *et al.* (2008) in brinjal.

The marketable yield of brinjal fruits differed significantly with the application of different fertilizer levels. Irrespective of spacing levels the highest marketable yield (73.76 t/ha) was recorded with the application of 187.5:150:75 kg NPK/ha (F₃), which was 5 and 12 per cent higher than application of F₂ and F₄ levels of fertilizers, respectively. (Table 1). The increased yield due to application of 187.5:150:75 kg NPK/ha (F₃) could be in turn attributed to increased vegetative growth of the plant as evidenced by increased plant height (95.09) cm), number of branches per plant (23.95), number of leaves per plant (116.81), canopy spread (72.17 cm), total dry matter accumulation (258.73 g/pant) and maximum uptake of nutrient from the soil (159.15, 42.66 and 55.51 kg NPK/ha, respectively). Similar result of increased yield with the application of higher fertilizer dose was reported by Ndereyimana et al. (2013); Kehinde et al. (2011); Thakre et al. (2005) and Selvi et al. (2004) in brinjal. The lowest marketable fruit yield (65.31 t/ha) was recorded in the treatment with 125:100:50 kg NPK/ha fertilizer (F₁) which may be due to lesser vegetative growth parameters and nutrient uptake from the soil.

The interaction effects of spacing and fertilizer levels also had considerable influence on production. Among the interactions of spacing and fertilizer levels, wider spacing along with higher fertilizer levels (S_5F_3) recorded maximum growth and yield (Table 1 and 2). From the study it revealed that adoption of wider spacing 90 cm x 90 cm (S_5) along with application of higher level of fertilizer F_3 (187.5:150:75 kg NPK/ha) was found most suitable for commercial production of hybrid brinjal.

REFERENCES

Alfred, K.N.(2009). Effect of spacing and chemical fertilization on growth, yield and nutritive quality of ravaya (*Solanum melongena* cv. BABY AUBERGINE). M. Sc. Thesis, School of

Research and Graduate Studies, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana.

Anonymous (2014). Package of practices for horticulture crops, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnatak State, India.

Baloch, **Q.B.**, **Dero B. and Memon**, **N.** (2012). Influence of sowing patterns on the growth and productivity of brinjal (*Solanum melongena*). *J. Agric. Technol.*, **8** (6): 2043-2051.

Drsekender (2006). Effect of spacing and bud pruning on the growth and yield of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). M. Sc. Thesis, South Asian University, NEW DELHI (INDIA).

Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). *Statistical procedures for agricultural research* (2nd Ed.). John Wiley and Sons, NewYork, 680p.

Ibeawuchi, I.I., Njoku, M., Miriam, O., Anyanwa, C.P. and Onyia, V.N. (2008). Plant spacing dry matter accumulation and yield of local and improved maize cultivar. *J. American Sci.*, **83**: 581-588.

Kehinde, N.A., Togun, A.O., Abiodun, M.O. and Chude, V.O.(2011). Effects of NPK fertilizer on growth, dry matter production and yield of eggplant in south western Nigeria. *Agric. & Biology J. North America*, **2** (7): 1117-1125.

Ndereyimana, A., Praneetha, S., Pugalendhi, L., Pandia, B.J. and Rukundo, P. (2013). Earliness and yield parameters of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) grafts under different spacing and fertigation levels. *African J. Plant Sci.*, 7 (11): 543-547.

Ndereyimana, A., Praneetha, S., Pugalendhi, L. and Pandia, B.J. (2014). Influence of grafting, spacing and fertigation levels on eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) leaf nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents. *Internat. Res. J. Hort.*, 2 (1): 6-10.

Selvi, D, Thiageshwari, S. and Santhy, P. (2004). Effect of combined application of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers in brinjal. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.*, **29**: 220 - 223.

Thakre, C.M., Badole, W.P., Tiwari, T.K. and Sarode, P.B. (2005). Effect of different levels of sulphur, phosphorus and potassium on yield and quality of brinjal. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.*, **30** (3): 352-353.

